

# **Energy sustainability in the productive systems**

# SET: a methodology for energy efficiency of Small and Medium Companies in manufacturing industry

**Giuseppe Nigliaccio** 

**CROSS-TEC Lab** Energy Technologies Department





# **Adopted Methodology**











# SET Tool – **Consumption shares**



The tool supplies information on how much different energy consumptions are related to production Specific consumption shares company 1



#### Specific consumption shares company 2







# **SET Tool – Economic comparison**

Comparison of electricity and natural gas prices in relation to:

- Country
- Consumption band
- Year

















# SET Tool – Energy sources allocation (es. photovoltaic)



	Fonti energetiche utilizzate per alimentare tutte le attività		
	TEP/anno	%	
Elettricità prodotta	23,27	2,13	
Calore prodotto	0,00	0,00	
Elettricità acquistata	1051,13	96,28	
Calore acquistato	17,40	1,59	
Elettricità venduta	0,00	-	
Calore venduto	0,00	-	
Tot.	1091,80	100,00	



The quantities of electric and thermal purchased energy and, if present, self-produced energy are calculated and detailed in percentage





# SET Tool – Electric consumptions trends

Monthly Electric consumptions trend analysis

# Monthly Electric consumptions trend analysis

Company 1



#### Company 2







# **SET Tool – Regression analysis**



	Electric
R-square	0,99
Electric cosumptions when production is Zero (kWhe)	35.591,24
Consumption for equivalent additional unit (kWhe)	2,00
Basic energy consumption (kWhe)	9,39



	Electric
R-square	0,66
Electric cosumptions when production is Zero (kWhe)	152.639,43
Consumption for equivalent additional unit (kWhe)	24,25
Basic energy consumption (kWhe)	29,16





# SET Tool – Electric Specific Consumption Trends









# Thermal consumption trends

#### Thermal consumption trends analysis



#### Company 1



#### Company 2





### **SET WEB**



SET WEB is a web application accessible from Set Tool or from www.em2m.enea.it

It collects in ANONYMOUS way the energy data of the companies and allows comparison of energy performances toward a dynamic benchmark of SIMILAR companies

#### Me and my competitors

#### **BENCHMARKING**



Indices: consumptions and energy costs for product and turnover unit





# SET WEB/2



**EPU Energy Consumption Vs. Production** Yarn 7 782681 prod. Bettrico KWheiks) 5.292399 Termico (KWht/KB) 1.500000 2.000000 2.500000 3.000000 3.500000 4.000000 4.500000 5.00000 5.50000 6.500000 7.000000 0.500000 1.000000 6.00000 0.000000 1.50000 8.00000 LE TUE PERFORMANCE Benchmark più affine presente nel database **EPU Energy Consumption Vs. Production** Fabric 455115 prod. Bettreo (Wheirs) 943193 Termico (kWht/KB) 0.50000 1.000000 1.500000 2.000000 2.500000 3.000000 4.000000 0.000000 3.500000 A.500000 5.00000 5.50000 LE TUE PERFORMANCE Benchmark più affine presente nel database

A comparison is effected towards firms that have a similar process (indices of similarity)

It allows to identify a possible incorrect evaluation of shares of energy consumptions of the departments





# SET WEB/3

SET WEB allows to compare performance evolution year by year through indices like:

- specific energy consumption
- energy / turnover
- energy's price







### SET WEB -Production machines models

Electric ener consu	Electric energy expected consumption		Actual figures of your Factory		Evaluated deviation
Energy consumption for the whole production F=(a*D)/(b)	Specific energy consumption per production unit G=(F/D)	Electric energy consumption (C)	Production (D)	Specific energy consumption per production unit E=(C/D)	Evaluation (G-E)/E %
7029146,3 kWhe	3,28 kWhe/kg	7170018 kWhe	2141012 kg	3,35 kWhe/kg	3,35 (+2%)

It compares your own machines consumption toward the expected results foreseen by models based on the adopted technologies and the mix of jobs.





# **Detailed Analysis – Load profiles**











# **Detailed analysis:**

### **Energy cost centers**

#### Installed power

#### Department level energy cost centers





Factory energy cost centers





# **Interventions synthesis** - Electric engines







# **Detailed analysis – Compressed air**

- Pipeline losses
- Lower temperature of air drown into the system
- Lower air production pressure within the pipelines

HOLE Ø (mm)	Flow rate @ 7bar (I/s)	Power loss (kW)
1	1,2	0,4
3	11,1	4
5	31	10,8
10	124	43





# **Detailed analysis** - Thermal Interventions

- Steam/ heat generators
- Distribution system
- Systems efficiency actions and thermal wastes recovery
- HVAC systems (Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning)





# Detailed analysis – Steam/heat generators

A heat generator has three types of losses:

- Q<sub>1</sub> = HIGH TEMPERATURE IN COMBUSTION EXHAUST GASES
- Q<sub>2</sub> = UNBURNED FUEL
- Q<sub>3</sub> = HEAT LOSSES FROM RADIATION

Other types of losses are of less importance.





# **Detailed analysis** – Steam/heat distribution

Lacking or collapsed insulation restore. Insulation of the inside steam lines is expected to reduce losses at least 90% in comparison to the naked pipeline. Besides, the lack or the collapse of the insulation don't guarantee the steam supply at the correct pressure to the use.

Steam losses: valves, flanges, cracks.

**Steam re-compression:** whenever available exhausted and low pressure steam can be mechanically re-compressed to serve steam uses demanding lower temperatures.





# **Detailed analysis – HVAC systems** (Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning)

- Mobile barriers against heat escapes
- Environment thermostats with automatic management program
- Warm / cold air heating system
- Recovery of heat finalized to heating
- Interventions on the buildings infrastructure (coat, ventilated wall, insulating plaster)
- Free cooling
- De-stratifying
- Transition management





# Case Study – Compressed air

From hourly load data it is noticed, when machines stop, a consumption of about 50 kWhe

They used to never turn off compressors Compressor net analysis  $\rightarrow$  elevated losses

Evaluation of the savings gotten by turning off machines when not working

#### 16.000 €/year

Estimation of the savings gotten through reparation of the pipelines, diminution of the pressure of exercise and the temperature of entry

63.000 €/year





### **Case Study – Electric Motor**

Substitution of 16 standards motors (not end of life), working 7680 hours/year, with more efficient (IE3) motors

Investment 35200 €





Investment payback time estimation

OSSIEC

 $\mathbf{C}$ 



### **Case Study – Heat Recovery**

Installation of a heat exchanger for heat recovery from the high temperature in combustion exhaust gases with the purpose to preheat input water of the steam generator.

After the intervention, efficiency is 94% (+4% increase).



Otherwise you can consider the possibility to preheat the combustive air.





# **Case Study – Cogeneration**

 reduces the energetic dependence of the factory, potentially to the simple purchase of fuel (natural gas or any other)\*

- makes available heat at different temperatures (exhaust gas, liquid of cooling, motor oil) that might be used for various purposes (generation of steam, heating of water, building heating..)

- interesting return of investment





# Case Study - Modular Cogenerator







### Case Study – Cogenerator Management

#### For different cogenerators we need different management policies







# **Case Study - Revamping**







# **Results for the company**

- Savings for plants reconfiguration (obtained savings for over 25% of the consumptions)
- New more efficient technologies deployed
- Recontracting Energy supply
- Perception of own energy consumption costs in comparison to the average of sector (process specific)
- Job shifts optimisation, energy loads and processes optimization
- Definition and adoption of management policies for cogeneration and for renewable source systems
- Specific efficency actions





# **Quantitative Results**

Visited companies within the SESEC and ARTISAN projects : about 30 (Europe), assisted companies to today within SET (in progress): 56 (Europe)

#### Some results of Energy-to-Measure Campaign

- DAMEL (PT) has identified savings on lighting, speed operational machines and insulations that can allow 5% saving on the electric consumption and 3% on the thermal consumption (SESEC)
- CANALI (IT) has identified optimization areas in its own electric and technical uses (SESEC)
- Marc Cain (D) has identified possible reduction of the times of stand-by of the machines with possible saving by 20% of energy electric and analogous saving in the use of the conditioner (ARTISAN)
- Marchi&Fildi (IT) has identified interventions on efficiency on the auxiliary systems that have allowed to reduce by 25% the electric consumptions (ARTISAN)





### **Final outcomes**

A general methodology, specialized on one manufacturing industrial sector (the textile and clothing), but transferable to analogous ones

A set of tools to interact with small and medium firms

An approach finalized to change the behaviours and the awareness as point of departure to the energy efficiency

The companies participation to a community allows the construction and use of a system of dynamic industry benchmarks





# **Thanks for your attention**

EM2M: <u>www.euratex.eu/em2m</u> SET project: <u>www.euratex.eu/set</u> SET WEB Tool: <u>www.em2m.enea.it</u>



The SET project is Co-funded by the Intelligent Energy Europe Programme of the European Union

CROSSIEC

giuseppe.nigliaccio@enea.it